Monday, 8 July, 1946 1 2 3 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building 6 Tokyo, Japan 7 8 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 9 at 0930. 10 11 12 Appearances: 13 For the Tribunal, same as before with the 14 exception of HON. DELFIN JARANILLA, Justice, Member 15 from the Commonwealth of the Philippines, who is not 16 sitting. 17 For the Prosecution Section, same as before. 18 For the Defense Section, same as before. 19 20 21 (English to Japanese and Japanese 22 to English interpretation was made by OKA, 23 Takashi and TSUCHIYA, Jun, Sho Onodera act-24 ing as Monitor.) 25

	Market and the second
1	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
2	Lilitary Tribunal for the Far East is now in session
3	and is ready to hear any matter brought before it.
4	THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present
5	except OKA"A and HIHAMUMA.
6	I have here a certificate from the Prison
7	Aedical Officer at Sugamo to this effect:
8	"This is to certify that Kiichiro HIRA-
9	NUMA is under treatment for an upper respiratory
10	infection, and is therefore unable to attend the
11	tricls."
12	
13	I take that to mean that for the time being he is unable to attend the trial.
14	
15	The corrections of the record as of the
16	14th of may and the 13th and 17th of June, sought by
17	Major Blakeney, having been found in order, will be
18	made.
19	Does any counsel desire to mention any mat-
20	ter?
21	(No reply.)
22	We will now take the cross-examination of
23	the witness General TANAKA. I notice that he men-
24	tioned the names of five of the accused, and it may
25	be desirable that the cross-examination should be
	conducted by the counsel representing those five
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

accused, if that can be arranged. MR. HAYASHI: I am HAYASHI, Itsuro, counsel for the defendant, HASHIMOTO, Kingoro. The witness is very proficient in English, but unfortunately I am not; so I would be happy if in making his replies he would answer "yes" and "no" in Japanese.

R Y J K I C H I T A N A K A , called as a witness
on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand
and testified as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. HAYASHI:

Q Was there any serious circumstances surrounding your departure from the -- your leaving the army when the Greater East Asia War was at its climax?

A Yes.

What were the circumstances?

A I shall speak of it in detail. Just before the departure of Ambassador NOMURA for the United States, I had occasion to speak intimately with Colonel IWAKURA, who was a member of the Ambassadorial Suite. IWAKURA at that time advocated a strong policy toward the United States and Britain. I am not particularly one who advocated a pro-British or pro-American policy, but after the battle of Chang-ku-feng I very strongly felt the importance of material strength in war. In that battle of Chang-ku-feng our forces demonstrated their fighting spirit to the highest pitch, but in accordance with the report sent from the fighting -- the combat zone to the central authorities, that battle was not a victory. I can say very positively at this time that if that battle had continued for two

more days it would have ended in the same way as the battle at Nomonhon. I know this because I was the commander of an artillery unit in the division that participated in that battle. It was because of these reasons that I told Colonel IWAKURA, not as Chief of the Military Service Bureau of the War Ministry, but as his senior officer, that whatever the circumstances, he try his utmost to work for a compromise with the United States. Half-jokingly, he said, "I will accept your threat and do what I can."

"I will threaten them and sometimes I will cater to them, and will get the result you want." But he said this in a joking manner.

A (Continued) Furthermore, I told him that I was neither afraid of the United States nor a proAmerican advocate, but I did say that I very much feared America's material strength. My official duties were not directly concerned with the negotiations with the United States. At that time I was in the War Ministry as Chief of the Military Service Bureau and had occasion to listen to reports entirely devoid of opinions or emotions from the Chief of the Military Affairs Section, Colonel SATO as well as General KIMURA, Vice Minister of War. Vice Minister

KIMURA was not an advocate of wer with the United States, and told me, not on one occasion alone, that if Ambassador KURUSU went to the United States a settlement could be reached between the two countries.

THE MONITOR: A slight addition: Vice Minister KIMURA was not an advocate -- did not advocate war with the United States at that time. Addition: "At that time."

A (Continued) However, war finally broke out between Japan and the United States, and in view of my official duties in the field of military administration, related especially to the defense of the homeland, I made a trip throughout Japan covering Kyoto, Kyushu, Hiroshima, Nagoya, Osaka, Sapporo, Kobe Asahigawa, Tokyo, and other places, to inspect defense installations to a single gun.

In November of the year 1931, a student military maneuvers were carried on -- carried out at the Shimoshizu. Those maneuvers I attended, and at that time I met Colonel NAKANISHI and had him explain to me to the smallest detail the proficiency and quality of Japanese aircraft.

THE MONITOR: Correction: 1941 instead of '31.

A (Continued) At that time he said that he could not speak of this openly; that from the point

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of view of quality, Japanese aircraft was bad, and that there was no question whatsoever from the standpoint of quantity. Then I told him, "Why don't you frankly speak of these matters to your senior officers?" At that time Colonel NAKANISHI said that if he did so he would be fired. I was most seriously concerned with the fact that the war with the United States had every possibility of developing into a protracted war, and that if the war became protracted Japan --- the Japanese homeland would be bombed by enemy aircraft; and speaking from my own experience in the battle of Chang-ku-feng I knew the character of modern war and came to the conclusion that if Japan -- if the Japanese homeland is bombed the war would end, in spite of our fighting spirit, in our defeat.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, are these the answers you want? The witness appears to have taken complete control of you.

MR. HAYASHI: I wish to ask him to tell me, much more simply, the reason why he resigned from the army.

MR. SACKETT: If the Court please, there was a fundamental break between this witness and the Japanese army. He has been asked to explain it, and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I think this is essential to disclose the real
essence of the break between this man and the army.

THE PRESIDENT: I am just inquiring. Counsel is inclined to let him go, and so am I.

MR. HAYASHI: I only want to know the direct reason why he resigned from the army.

THE PRESIDENT: Put that question to him.

Q What is the direct reason for your resignation from the army?

There are two reasons for my resignation from the army: one reason was the state of our defense installations and equipment -- air defense particularly -- as well as the friction between the army and navy, and the fear of subordinates to report to their senior officers, especially to the War Ministry; the state of our material preparations, especially with respect to shipping, for fear of dismissal. Furthermore, the war situation was not truthfully nor fully reported, especially with respect to our material requirements: particularly, food, steel, coal, and other vital necessities. The production increase did not go according to original plans. As a result, the future of this war became hopeless. I was taken with insomnia. As a result, on the 21st of September, 1942, I approached War Minister TOJO and told him that

"Your Excellency seems to have a feeling of certainty in Japanese victory. I, however, feel that such a victory is hopeless, and therefore, in order to enable the men who still have confidence in victory to go on with their task unobstructed, I would resign." I further stated that my health at that time was not quite well, and I was not able to undertake the heavy duties of my office.

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, this witness is making a speech. He is not answering the question, and we would like to request the Tribunal to tell this witness to answer the questions and quit making speeches.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, just at the end of the speech, when you intervened, Major Warren, he said something very material, and if he continues along those lines there can be no objection. He might be asked what reasons he gave to TOJO. I think he has given us those now fully enough.

A (Continued) The second reason for my resignation is that in accordance with my conscience, or to be true to my conscience, I felt that I was no longer qualified as a regular army officer. Still another reason for my resignation was this: At that time, although I was very much indebted to His

25

three times in China.

Excellensy TOJO, he said that Japan was going to fight 1 to the last man. This, I believe, was absolutely impossible. At that time the question arose as to the 3 establishment of the Ministry for Greater East Asiatic 4 Affairs, and in this connection, I approached His 5 Excellency, the Foreign Minister TOGO, and asked him 6 to start a political movement by which to oust General 7 8 TOJO. By so doing I violated a fundamental rule which 9 all soldiers were required to follow -- that of inter-10 fering in politics -- and although this was against 11 my conscience, I did so. 12 For these above reasons I abandoned or left 13 the army. 14 After you left the army were you ever in the 15 Konodai National Hospital because of a brain disease? 16 A Yes. 17 Q How long were you in this hospital? 18 THE MONITOR: From what date to what date? 19 From the 12th of November to the 22nd or 23rd 20 of December, 1942, I think, although my memory is not 21 exact. 22 Have you ever drunk opium -- smoked opium? 23 Two or three times in China -- yes, two or

You have stated that you first met HASHIMOTO.

Kingoro, in 1929, at the time HASHIMOTO was in Turkey, 2 and he did not return to Japan until the following 3 year. Was that a mistake in your memory? 4 That was the 5th year of Showa, and it would 5 have been a mistake in my memory with respect to the 6 western calendar; that would have been 1930. 7 (In Japanese, but not interpreted.) 8 A Will you repeat that again? 9 You have said that you met HASHIMOTO, Kingoro, 10 in 1934, at either the Akebonoso or the Fujimikeh; but 11 what date -- what hour of what day of what month was 12 that meeting? 13 I think that question is slightly mistaken, 14 as I did not say Fujimikeh. 15 Q Please look up the record. 16 A I said Akebomoso very definitely. 17 Q When? 18 At that time I received a report from Cap-19 tain SUZUKI, Kyo, attached to the Army General Staff 20 at the time, to the effect that Colonel HASHIMOTO was 21 coming up to Tokyo from Mishina for a dinner party. 22 If my memory serves me right, that was in the summer 23

of that year. Since this took place quite a long

time ago, I do not remember the date exactly.

	The state of the s
1	Who and who assembled at that time?
2	A According to we want?
3	seven or eight men at that dinner party but of the
4	names I remember, Captain SUZUKI, Kyo, who was more
5	or less manager of the party, and the then Colonel
6	HASHIMOTO.
7	Q HASHIMOTO does not know where the restaurant
8	Akebonoso is. Have you made a mistake in your memory?
9	A This restaurant Akebonoso is located at
10	Toranomôn, Tokyo, and was frequented by Army officers
11	because the prices of dinners were inexpensive.
12	Q Are you a member of the Sakura-kai?
13	A I am not a member.
14	Q Are General ITAGAKI and Lieutenant General
15	ISHIHARA members of this society?
16	A They are not members.
17	Q Then this meeting at the Akebonoso was not a
18	meeting of the Sakura-kai, was it?
19	A Yes, as you say.
20	Q Are you aware of the fact that at the time the
21	Sakura-kai was formed, politicians and the capitalists
22	were very corrupt and that the giving and taking of
23	bribes was an accepted practice?
24	A I cannot say that I have ever seen a bribe being

negotiated but it was general knowledge at that time to

those who were concerned with the welfare of the nation
that such a practice was going on. That fact I do
confirm.

Q Are you aware that, at the time the Sakura-kai
was formed, WAKATSUKI, who later became Premier at the
time of the October Incident, was investigated by the

Prosecutor's Office on the suspicion of having received a bribe of a hundred thousand yen from KUSUMI, Toma,

of the Echigo Railway.

A Yes, I know that by reports in the newspapers.

Q Were you in favor of the object of the Sakura-kai to reform the political world?

A Yes, very much in favor.

Q The Sakura-kai never meddled in Manchurian problems. You have said that you heard from the late Major General CHO that it did meddle in Manchurian problems, but is this a direct -- did you hear this directly, or is this only hearsay?

MR. SACKETT: If the Court please, the prosecution objects to that question. It is argumentative. He states a proposition and says it is not true and asks the Court -- asks the witness to debate the question. He can ask him directly whether they interfered in Manchuria or were concerned in Manchuria

15

17

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

or not. THE PRESIDENT: I thought he said he heard from a certain source that they didn't -- well, ask 3 him the question, "Did the Society interfere in 4 Manchuria and who told him it did, if it did so." I ask you that question. 6 7 Inasmuch as Captain CHO was a central figure in the Sakura-kai and wielded greater in-8 9 fluence than Colonel HASHIMOTO in the policies of 10 the organization, I am inclined to believe, give 11 more veracity to what CHO told me. 12 Did you say that Major General CHO consulted 13 with HASHIMOTO, Kingoro, concerning Manchurian prob-14 lems? 15 According to my memory, CHO has not con-16 sulted HASHIMOTO on the subject. 17 Is the witness aware of the fact that Major 18 General CHO was stationed in China and returned to 19 Japan after the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident? 20 I know that very well. 21 Then, even if CHO said that the Sakura-kai 22 concerned itself with Manchurian problems, that would 23 not fall within the scope of CHO's knowledge, would it?

CHO went to China on the first of August.

Before his departure for China, however, two incidents

1	occurred; the Wanpoashan Incident and the killing of
2	Captain NAKAMURA, Shintaro. At that time CHO as-
3	sembled a group of younger officers at the Kaikosha
4	Officers' Club at Kudan and there spoke very strongly
5	about the need for a quick and decisive settlement
6	of the Manchurian problems. I have heard those words
7	with my own ears. As you say, the Manchurian question
8	was not planned upon very hastily but that it came
9	about after some lapse of time.
10	Q In January of what year did Major General
11	CHO go to China?
12	A I recall it as being the first part of
13	August, 1931.
14	Q Then on what day of what month of what year
15	did the Wanpoashan and NAKAMURA Incidents occur?
16	A My memory is quite good but not quite good
17	enough to answer that question.
18	Q Then I shall tell you. The Wanpoashan
19	Incident occurred in July, 1931. The NAKAMURA Incident
20	occurred on June 28, 1931. These Incidents were taken
21	up as problems in Japan during the latter part of
22	August. Does that refresh your memory?
23	A Then may I be so bold as to ask you whether
24	these problems were taken up and handled by the
25	Foreign Office, the War Office, or by the newspapers?

If you tell me the correct answer, maybe it would refresh my memory even more.

THE MONITOR: As you say, they were taken up in Japan. Do you mean taken up by the War Ministry, or in the reports of the newspapers, or by the Foreign Office. If you will tell me who took up the issue, then I can answer your question.

Q Concerning these dates, I made sure at the War Ministry, and even in the newspapers.

A Do vou know when the Kwantung Army began to handle the Wanpoashan and NAKAMURA Incidents?

Q I am not the witness. I am questioning the witness.

A But unless I have the answer to that question, I won't be able to reply.

Q If you cannot reply, I shall drop that question. Then, what was your relationship with General UGAKI, the supposed leader of the March Incident?

A General UGAKI was a superintendent of the Army Staff College which I was attending then. He was a friend of my wife's father. For forty years, almost every day of those forty years, I have listened to the very helpful instructions of General UGAKI and respect him very highly.

7 8

1	Q Was General UGAKI an advocate of a reduction
2	in armaments and did he carry out this policy?
3	A General UGAKI was a proponent of the adjust-
4	ment of armaments and carried that policy into effect
5	Q General ARAKI, who was supposed to become
6	the leader of the October Incident, was he known as
7	a man of the noblest character at the time?
8	A There are various interpretations to the
9	subject of a person's character. Although I cannot
10	say that General ARAKI was a unique figure, I can
11	say that he was a man of high character.
12	Q Had General ARAKI just returned to Tokyo,
13	just been transferred to Tokyo from his post as
14	Commander of the Sixth Division in Kumamoto at the
15	time of the October Incident?
16	A Yes, just as you say.
17	Q Was General ARAKI at that time a man with
18	no connections in central political circles?
19	A Just as you say.
20	Are you aware of the fact that as a result
21	of the October Incident HASHIMOTO, Kingoro, was
22	punished and was, furthermore, transferred to be
23	attached to the regiment at Mishima?
24	
25	A I recall that he was punished and attached to the Himeji Regiment but I do not know or recall

25

vice Bureau ANDO.

that he was attached to the Mishima Regiment. 1 Are you aware of the fact that in the begin-2 ning of 1932 Colonel SHIGETO of the General Staff 3 Office was transferred to Ranan in Korea? 4 Yes, I know. 5 Also, you have stated that you saw the doc-6 uments concerning the incident of the bombing of 7 Chang Tso-lin; but has anybody else besides you 8 9 handled these documents? 10 I think the present General UMEZU, who was then Chief of the Military Affairs Section in the 11 12 War Office and the then l'inister for War, General 13 SHIRAKAWA, and probably also his Vice-Minister. 14 However, such documents are not permitted to be 15 shown to anybody under the rank of Section Chief 16 without the approval of the Chief of the Military 17 Service Bureau. Together with these documents, did you not 18 19 find a report from General ANDO, the then Chief of the Military Service Bureau on the Liutiackou Incident 20 21 together with attached photographs? Do you mean ANDO, Chief of the Military Ser-22 23 vice Bureau?

A report made by Chief of the Military Ser-

Was it not ANDO, Chief of the Military 1 Service Section in the Bureau? 2 He may have been. He may have been a 3 section chief, anyway, Mr. ANDO. 4 Since at that time I was not very much 5 interested in the Incident, being a believer in the 6 fact that it was an act of legitimate self-defense, 7 8 still I presume that such a report exists. 9 THE MONITOR: "Or such a report should exist." A little correction before that: "At that time I 10 11 believed that the Manchurian Incident was conducted 12 in self-defense as far as Japan was concerned. 13 fore I was not interested in such Incident." 14 You have stated that in 1928 there was an 15 opinion prevalent that a land of peace, tranquillity 16 and happiness in accordance with the kingly way should 17 be established in Manchuria. Was this opinion the 18 opinion of only Colonel KAWAMOTO or was it shared by 19 the entire TANAKA Cabinet? 20 I should like to have you understand that this idea was entertained by Colonel KAWAMOTO alone. 22 You have stated that in 1928 Colonel KAWAMOTO 23 and in 1930 General ITAGAKI, when you saw and talked with General ITAGAKI, they talked of establishing a

land of peace and tranquillity and happiness in

accordance with the kingly way in Manchukuo. But, was not this phrase used after the establishment of Manchukuo for the first time by the Manchurian leader Yu Chun-han?

A These words were often used by those of us Army officers who had connections with China problems.

Abram & Morse

1

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

15

13

14

15

16

17

13

12

21

25

	Q	From	around	when	did	you	begin	to	use
these	170	rds?							

A These words were used among us from quite a long time ago.

Q You have stated that the relations between Japan and Manchuria after 1928 were that of undeclared war. Will you give an example of this?

HONITOR: Battle conditions, without declaration of war.

A One was the construction of a parallel line to the South Manchurian Railway in violation of treaty provisions. If my memory serves me right, there were over three hundred pending issues in Manchuria between China and Japan.

Q Then was the solution of the Manchurian problem the solution of these various issues and the establishment of a land of peace, happiness and tranquility, in accordance with the kingly way in Manchuria?

A In my understanding, that is what was intended by Colonels ITAGAKI and ISHIHARA.

Q By "land of peace, tranquility and happiness, in accordance with the kingly way," does this phrase mean a Utopian land of peace without aggression and without war?

1	A On the basis of my studies of Chinese
2	affairs, it is exactly as you have just stated.
3	Q Then I shall ask you on another point.
4	You have stated that in August of 1929 a conference
5	of the Chiefs of Staff was held. Upon investigation
6	it was found that no such conference was held. Was
7	this a mistake on your part?
8	A That is an error in memory. I shall correct
9	that. It was in April, 1929.
10	Q Are you aware that there was an important
11	document in the General Staff Office, being a record
12	of the decision on policy made during made at con-
13	ferences of this General Staff Office, namely, the
1-4	estimate of situation?
15	A I know very well, since I have frequently
16	participated in such meetings.
17	MONITOR: In the preparation of such reports.
18	4 Are you aware of the fact that in the
19	estimate of situation for May, 1931, you have pro-
20	posed to the government that for the solution of the
21	Manchurian problem a positive policy must be es-
22	tablished?
23	A will you repeat that question slowly, please?
2-1	Q Are you aware of the fact that in May of
25	1931 that is the year in which the Manchurian

25

plosion?

1	Incident broke out you have stated in the estimate
2	of situation that a definite policy towards the
3	government must be established in order to solve the
4	Manchurian problem?
5	I might be reprimanded for saying so, but
6	will you tell me who made the recommendation, the
7	War Office or the General Staff?
8	Are you aware of the fact that there is such
9	a notation in the record?
10	A Such recommendations are made every year,,
11	but since I was in Shanghai that year, I do not know
12	about it. Still, I would assume that since it is a
13	custom, such a recommendation was submitted.
14	Q Are you aware that with the cutbreak of the
15	Wanpoashan Incident and the Captain NAKAMURI Incident
16	public opinion in Japan concerning the solution of
17	the Manchurian problem reached the boiling point?
18	A Yes, I know that very well.
19	Q Are you aware that the aggressive actions
20	of the Chinese Army became worse and worse day by
21	day, and that the lives and property of Japanese
22	residents in Manchuria were that of a candle flame
23	in the wind and that matters were such that a single

spark would have been sufficient to set off an ex-

You used the word that a single spark would 1 be sufficient to set fire, to cause a conflagration, 2 but I can assure you that the situation was extremely 3 acute. 5 Q Was not the plan of General TATEKAWA and others to solve pending issues between Japan and Man-6 7 churia and to establish a Utopian land of peace, 8 where there would be no war in Manchuria? 9 A Yes. Exactly as you have just stated. 10 Are you aware of the fact that in the 11 Liutiaokou Incident that not a single Chinese, not 12 a single Chinese soldier, was killed by Japanese 13 cannon? 14 I regret to say I do not know. 15 Are you aware of the fact that at the time 16 the Chinese cannons were installed, facing the 17 Japanese garrison in Hanchuria, facing the barracks of the Kwantung Army? 19 A That I have heard, but not having been on 20 the spot at that time, I cannot say so categorically. 21 Then are you aware that the Chinese cannon 22 began to fire very effectively towards our army at 23 the time? 24 A I think that would be merely a natural 25

result of the fact, since that is natural after a

war breaks out, or hostilities break out. Q Are you aware of the number of Japanese 2 residents in Manchuria at the time of the breaking 3 out of the Manchurian Incident? A I am aware that there was a great decrease 5 in the number of Japanese residents, but I regret to say that I do not recall the exact figures. 7 You do not know even the general figure? A Approximately, yes. I think it was about 150,000, which diminished to 100,000. Isn't that so? 10 11 Q What was the number of Chinese troops in 12 Manchuria at the time? 13 A Since there are no accurate statistics in 14 China, I don't know the exact figures, but from what 15 I have been able to find out as Chief of the Investi-16 gation Section, the number was approximately 30 million. 17 What was the number of the Kwantung Army 18 guarding the South Manchuria hailway zone? 19 A It was very small. I should think it was 20 about five or six thousand. 21 THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for 22 fifteen minutes. 23 (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings 25 were resumed as follows, Lanny Miyamoto

	1	replacing Sho Onodera as Monitor.)
	2	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now
	3	resumed.
W	4	Q In one of your answers which you made
h	5	before the recess I was not able to get its full
a e n	6	import. So I shall ask my question again.
&	7	What was the approximate number of Chinese
D	8	troops in Manchuria at the time of the Liu-tiao-kou
u	9	Incident?
a	10	A Although the main force was stationed
	11	principally in the Peking-Tientsin area, I should
	12	say that the number of Chinese troops in the three
	13	eastern provinces at that time was approximately
	14	200,000.
	15	Q I want to make sure once again. Was the
	16	number of Japanese residents in Manchuria about
	17	100,000; the number of the Japanese army in Man-
	18	churia about 5,000; the number of the Chinese army
	19	in Manchuria, 200,000?
	20	A According to my memory, yes.
	21	Q Next, in 1933, when the pacification of
	22	Jehol had been completed, where were you?
	23	A Fourth Infantry Regiment, Osaka, Shinodayama.
	24	Q Then, your statement that with the conquest
	25	of Jehol the occupation of Manchuria had been a

1	completed is not your own direct testimony but some-
2	thing that you heard from others?
3	A I am speaking from the fact that I have
4	seen documents to that effect when I later became
5	Staff Officer in the Kwantung Army. If you say
6	I will leave the judgement up to you whether this
7	is hearsay or not.
8	Q Were officials of the Manchurian government
9	in charge of the administrative machinery of Manchukuo?
10	A At that time dual nationality, Japanese and
11	Manchurian.
12	Q Then, would one be a Manchurian official
13	even if one were Japanese by nationality?
14	A They were Manchukuoan officials but at the
15 16	same time they were of Japanese nationality.
17	Q Then, did all Japanese residents in Man-
	chukuo have the rights and duties of subjects of
18	Menchukuo as Manchukuoans?
20	A If my recollection is correct, extra-
	territorial rights prevailed up to 1935 and were
21	gradually withdrawn from that date. And parallel
22 23	with this, Japanese began to exercise the rights and
24	obligations of Manchukucans from that date. In 1938,
41	

however, extra-territorial rights were completely

retroceded, and from that time the Japanese there

began to assume fully their rights and obligations as Manchukuoan subjects; but they were, at the same time, Japanese nationals.

Q Did peace and order in Manchuria become better after the establishment of Manchukuo?

A The law and order in Manchuria immediately after the establishment of the State of Manchukuo was extremely bad compared with the pre-Manchukuo establishment date. However, the situation gradually began to improve from 1935. Then, in 1938, the improvement was very marked and areas, I might say virtually independent areas, which had nothing to do previous to that time with the regime of Chang Hsueh-liang, and also although belonging to the Nanking government had no intercourse with the

Q Then, what was the policy of the Japanese government which had recognized Manchukuo towards Manchukuo?

Nanking government, were absorbed into the State of

Manchukuo, and conditions as roved very

markedly, according to my recollection.

A Not being a diplomat and having had no connections with the handling of treaties, I do not know the details. However, I think that after the recognition of the State of Manchukuo, the Japanese

24

25

government's policy was to foster the development of the new state under Japanese control. 2 THE PRESIDENT: This may possibly go to the 3 4 question of mitigation of punishment, but it cannot 5 go to the real question of guilt or innocence. We 6 must keep that in mind. 7 Q Next I wish to ask you, did HASHIMOTO, 8 Kingoro, have anything to do with the recognition of Manchukuo by the Japanese government? 10 THE MONITOR: Correction: The policy 11 toward Manchukuo. 12 A According to my recollection, he had abso-13 lutely no connection whatsoever with the government's 14 policy toward Manchuria. 15 Did the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics 16 send consuls to Manchuria? 17 A Yes. 18 What were your duties as Staff Officer of 19 the Kwantung Army? 20 My duties as Staff Officer of the Kwantung 21 Army involved operations, especially the geographical survey of the area as well as investigation into the resources of the area, and also to assist the

Staff Officer in charge of Espionage; vis-a-vis,

China and Mongolia. I being very much versed in

25

1	Chinese and Mongolian affairs, I was also ordered
2	by the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army to
3	help the Staff Officer in charge of Espionage because
4	he was young in his duties. Therefore, I had two
5	duties while attached to the Kwantung Army.
6	Q In executing these duties, did you accept
7	the policies of the Kwantung Army toward Manchukuo
8	and act in accordance with this?
9	A Being a Staff Officer of the Kwantung Army,
10	my duties naturally will have to be in line with that
11	policy.
12	Q When your ideas were not in accordance with
13	those of your superiors, you resigned from the army.
14	When you were a Staff Officer in the Kwantung Army,
15	were your opinions in accordance with the fundamental
16	policy of the Kwantung Army towards Manchukuo?
17	A Being the same, I exerted my utmost efforts.
18	Q Have you ever participated in the China
19	· ident as Chief of Staff of the First Army?
20	A Yes, I did.
21	Q In the plan of operations which you drew up,
22	were there any points which could be regarded as
23	
	aggressive warfare, or were there not?

A To that question I shall reply as follows:
Whatever the nation or whatever the people that makes

	The state of the s
1	up this world, there is none that feels that wars are
2	aggressive when it enters upon warfare.
3	Q Then may I understand that your opinions
4	as Chief of Staff of the First Army were identical
5	with the opinions of your superior officers?
6	A The Chief of Staff or Chief Staff Officer
7	expresses opposition when opposition is called for
8	but has no authority of execution with regard to
9	plans of operations. It is the duty of the Staff
10	Officer to carry out any plans or orders once they
11	are approved and given by the Commander-in-Chief.
12	Q Were the fundamental policies of yourself,
13	as Chief of Staff, identical with those of your com-
14	mander, or were they not?
15	A The Chief of Staff has opinions but no
16	policy and, therefore, as .Staff Officer I cannot say
17	whether I had any opinions with respect to policy.
18	Q When you were Chief of the Military Service
19	Bureau, was it not one of the duties of the Chief of
20	the Military Service Bureau to supervise the military
21	education of young men and students?
22	A The authority rests with the Minister of War,
23	but there are certain rights which are delegated to
24	the Chief. And I exercised my rights as Chief, those
	oniter, onote

delegated rights as Chief of the Hilitary Service

Bureau.

Q Among the duties delegated to you as Chief of the Military Service Bureau from the War Minister, was there the duty of inciting students to aggressive warfare?

A The duty of the Chief of the Military Service
Bureau was that of military training. He had no authority whatsoever with respect to indoctrination even though
he would want to do so. May I emphasize again, I want
to say emphatically that the authority to indoctrinate
could not be carried out by the Chief of the Bureau
even if he wanted to do so.

Greenberg & Barton

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: The question suggests that students were incited to aggressive warfare. Is there any basis of fact for that?

Q Next, as Chief of the Military Service Bureau, was it one of your duties to supervise the gendarmes?

A The Chief of the Military Service cannot control or supervise the gendarmes inasmuch as they are under the direct order of command from the War Minister and the Vice War Minister. However, in order to make the supervision over the gendarmes by the War Minister and the Vice Minister for war possible, the Chief of the Military Service Bureau conducted some duties of military administration.

Q As Chief of the Military Service Bureau, did you prevent the gendarmes from illegally meddling in politics?

A Yes. In assisting the Minister for war, I have done everything possible to prevent such actions although it was not entirely within my authority.

Q Have you ever been interviewed by the International Prosecution Section?

A Yes.

Q On this occasion, did you receive any interrogation concerning the Suiyuan Incident with which

1	it is supposed that you were connected?
2	A Yes, on three occasions.
3	Q During the course of your interrogations by
4	the International Prosecution Section, were you ever
5	told that if you would not become a witness you would
6	have to be a defendant?
7	THE MONITOR: Correction: Which would you
8	choose, becoming a witness or a defendant?
9	A To this day I have never received threaten-
10	ing words.
11	Q Have you ever written a newspaper article
12	on the request of the International Prosecution
13	Section?
14	A Not by request. I have written articles,
15	but not by request.
16	Q You did write those articles?
17	A Yes, I did, but not by request.
18	Q Was this by the request of the prosecutor
19	who interrogated you or by the request of another
20	prosecutor?
21	IR. SACKETT: If the Court please, the prose-
22	cution objects. The witness has answered that it was
23	not by request.
24	THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

	,
1	(At this point a Japanese counsel
2	rose in his place and spoke in Japanese.)
3	THE PRESIDENT: Let us have one translation
4	at a time.
5	A That is not what I said. Let me reply to
6	your question again. I have written articles but
7	not by any request.
8	Q Have you ever helped the International
9	Prosecution Section in its work in any other way?
10	A By the demand of the International Frosecu-
11	tion Section I have daily, to this date, been under
12	investigation and have been asked to cooperate.
13	Q In what way are you cooperating?
14	
15	your round out round yroung you
16	would well understand my background and experience
17	which I owe largely to the goodness of my senior
18	officers, and such experience and background I have
19	been able to gain for myself even though it had been
20	beyond my natural strength and talents. As you have
	noted in my Curriculum Vitae, I have been Staff
21	Officer of the Kwantung Army, I have been Regimental
22	Commander of the Japanese forces in Ranan, Korea,
23	Chief of the Wilitary Service Section, and Chief of
24	the Military Service Bureau in the Ministry for wer

As a result of such background and experience

.4

I am quite familiar with nearly all the subjects that are under the purview of this Tribunal. Although I cannot say I know the whole truth, I can say very positively that I know the truth to quite a great

extent; and, by sharing of this knowledge, I am able

to ensure accurate investigation and a fair trial.

The investigation of these various matters of which I have spoken is being done by order of the Supreme Commander of the Allied Powers, and this investigation is being done in such a way as to limit the scope of the investigation as well as to simplify the method of investigation in order to economize on time.

Q Then, from when did you begin to cooperate with the International Prosecution Section in accordance with the order of General MacArthur and in accordance with laws?

A The order for me to appear before the International Prosecution Section reached me at 7:00 a.m. the 4th of February, and I appeared at the International Prosecution Section at nine o'clock the same morning. Since then I was permitted to return to my home in the Fujii mountain area for two weeks.

Correction: The 14th of February.

THE PRESIDENT: I think we have heard enough

A

1	about his cooperation with the prosecution unless
2	there is something very special about his conduct in
3	that regard.
4	Q Then I shall ask you on just one more point:
5	Are you receiving any monetary remuneration for this
6	cooperation?
7	A To this date I have not received one cent
8	as remuneration. I am daily paying twenty-eight sen
9	for my lodging as a witness.
10	MR. HAYASHI: I conclude my cross-examina-
11	tion.
12	LIEUT. LAZARUS: Mr. President, I am
13	Lieutenant Lazarus, counsel for the accused Field
14	Marshal Shunroku HATA. And, although the accused
15	HATA was not mentioned, this witness we believe has
16	some information peculiarly within his own knowledge,
17	sir; and, rather than call him later and take the
18	time of the Tribunal, I respectfully ask the Tribunal's
19	permission to ask him a half dozen questions at this
20	time.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
22	BY LIEUT. LAZARUS:
23	Q General, are you acquainted with the accused
24	Field Marshal Shunroku HATA?

I have been very intimate with him since my

days	as Captain.
	Q In March of 1945, did you discuss with the
accus	ed HATA the question of military control over
civil	ians?
	A Yes.
	Q General HATA was opposed to military con-
trol	over civilians, and you agreed with him; isn't
that	so, sir?
	A Yes.
(Also at this time, there was a rumor in the
press	and in the streets that Field Marshal HATA
would	become the Premier next; isn't that so?
	A Yes.
	MR. SACKETT: The prosecution wishes to
objec	t, your Honor, because of irrelevance to the
issue	
	THE PRESIDENT: It may be a mitigation of
punis	hment, but isn't that stretching it?
	LIEUT. LAZARUS: Will you please read the
last	question to the witness? Oh, he answered
	" Thank you.
	And when you asked him about this, the

t this, the accused, Field Marshal HATA, said he would not accept because he does not care to mix in politics, and you told him that you agreed that officers should

TANAKA

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CROSS

not mix in politics; isn't that so, sir? I shall state my proposition simply as this is a rather different story: When I told His Excellency that "among the young officers there is an opinion that Your Excellency should take control, that martial law should be declared, and that military administration should be put into force, but Your Excellency must not accept this opinion -- this desire on the part of the young officers," His Excellency replied that his greatest ambition was to fulfill his duties as Field Marshal and that he had no desire to become Prime Minister. And, as for declaring martial law, he was absolutely opposed to that as that would split Japan into two. But, he added, he would be in some distress -- he added that he would not know what to do if he should receive an Imperial Command to be Prime Minister. And I told him that "if you should receive such a command, you should decline it immediately," and he replied that he would.

LIEUT. LAZARUS: Thank you.

MR. YAMADA: I am YAMADA, Hanzo, counsel for the accused ITAGAKI, Selshira.

24

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. YAMADA:

Q You have stated that the Mukden Incident was planned by the Kwantung Army and especially by ITAGAKI and ISHIHARA as the central figures. Furthermore, you stated that you heard this from OKAWA and HASHIMOTO. But, did you simply hear this from OKAWA and HASHIMOTO, or did you judge that such was the fact from what OKAWA and HASHIMOTO told you, or do you have some other concrete evidence that such a plan actually existed?

THE FRESIDENT: I don't think he should be asked to answer again. I will disallow that question.

Q When you speak of "plan," what do you mean?

A Plan is a plan.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness need not answer that.

Q Do you know what was the direct cause of the Liu-tiao-kou Incident which in turn was the cause of the Mukden Incident?

A In my previous statement I have spoken the truth; but, not being a prosecutor nor a defense counsel, I should like to withhold my opinions.
But, if you insist, then I should like to turn your

25

1	attention to my affidavit and give your own judgment
2	thereon.
3	Q Have you drawn up an affidavit?
4	A Yes, and signed.
5	Q I want you to give your opinion on the
6	direct cause of the Liu-tiao kou Incident as you
7	have written it in your affidavit.
8	A The direct cause is the extremely acute
9	situation existing between China and Japan in Man-
10	churia.
11	Q What about the railway explosion? What about
12	the blowing up of the railway?
13	A I think it would be better if I did not
14	reply to that question. My conscience would not
15	permit me to reply to that question.
16	THE PRESIDENT: You had better reply to it.
17	THE WITNESS: I do not know which side blew
18	up the railway, but it was a result of this incident
19	that developed into the Mukden Incident. At that
20	time Japan blamed China for blowing up the railway
21	while China blamed Japan. But, not having the actual
22	data and evidence on hand, my conscience does not
23	permit me to give any reply inasmuch as I do not

Q Are you aware that the government and the

know where the responsibility lies.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21 22

23

24

Kwantung Army issued a statement at the time?

Yes, I do. I know because I was Assistant Military Attache of the Japanese Embassy in Shanghai at the time and saw the cables and other documents which came from Tokyo.

Do the contents of that statement concur with the facts or do they not?

As I have said before, there is no definite and conclusive evidence on the facts, and I cannot say one way or the other. Being a member of the Japanese Army, I have no alternative but to give veracity to the statement that was given out at that time; and, not having been on the spot where the incident occurred, I do not know where the responsibility lies for the blowing up of the railway.

In reply to a question put by counsel HAYASHI you stated that the Liu-tiao-kou Incident was an act of self defense.

I did not say that it was an act of self defense, but I said --

THE PRESIDENT: This is a convenient break. We will adjourn until half-past one.

> (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
-	
	The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at
1	1330.
	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
,	Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
7	THE PRESIDENT: Let the doctor's certificate
3	I read this morning be recorded, Mr. Bowman.
9	THE INTERPRETER: Before the recess counsel
0	made the following statement: "In reply to a question
1	by counsel .HAYASHI you stated that the Lin-tiao-kou
2	Incident was an act of self-defense."
3	To that the witness replied: "I did not say
4	that it was an act of self-defense; I only said that
5	I had believed at that time that it was."
6	
7	
S	
9	
()	
21	
22	
25	
24	

RYUKICHI TANAKA, called as a witness
on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand
and testified as follows:

BY MR. YAMADA (Continued)

Q Continuing the morning's cross-examination,
I ask you: You have said that in connection with the

I ask you: You have said that in connection with the Liu-tiao-kou Incident that the Chief of the Military Service Bureau, ANDO, may have submitted reports and photographs, but that as you believe it was an act of self-defense you had no interest in them. On what basis did you think that it was an act of self-defense?

A By self-defense, it means, in my interpretation, an action taken by a nation when in extreme difficulties with the aim toward freeing itself from that situation. The international law interpretation of that term may be different, but you would know that.

Q Then do you believe that the Liu-tiao-kou Incident was an act of self-defense?

A Up to the termination of the Pacific War, I believed, as the Japanese national advocated, that it was an act of self-defense; that is, because I am a Japanese and I believed as my government said. However, whether it was an act of self-defense or not

1	depends upon the decision of this Court. At this
2	present moment I cannot myself say which. I am a-
3	waiting the judgment of the Court.
4	Q Have you ever read the Lytton report?
5	A No.
6	Q You have never seen it?
7	A No. However, I have seen fragments of that
8	report in magazines.
9	Q The witness has testified that immediately
10	before the Manchurian Incident, the situation between
11	the Japanese and Chinese armies in Manchuria was very
12	tense, and that there were about there were over
13	three hundred pending issues between the two countries
14	and that among them one of the principal was the
15	Nakamura Incident.
16	Q Do you remember any other great incident
17	which helped to worsen the situation; can you give
18	four or five examples?
19	A You mean by that that you include the
20	Nakamura Incident and the Wan Pow Shun Incident?
21	Q Are there any other incidents besides these
22	two incidents?
23	A I think I am correct in saying that accord-
24	ing to the Japan-China Treaty, entered into in 1904,
25	a parallel line was not to be established that is

parallel to the South Manchurian Railway. I think that China ignored Japan's treaty rights with respect to the construction of parallel lines. I think parallel lines were constructed to the east of the SMR -- South Manchurian Railway -- as well as the south.

THE MONITOR: Correction: One line to the east and one line to the west of the Manchurian Rail-way.

(Continuing) If my memory serves me right, at the time of the projected construction of the Kirin-Kainei Railway, capital was to be invested by Japan in accordance with the Five-Power or the Four-Power consortium, but this plan was obstructed and the plan was not realized. There were many other disputes and incidents at that time, but because of the lapse of time my memory will not permit me to speak definitely or positively. However, I definitely recall that ever since Chang Hsueh-liang brought the national flag of China into Manchuria, the situation became extremely -- the anti-Japanese situation in Manchuria became extremely acute. The principal objective of the anti-Japanese movement was the retrocession of Port Arthur and Dairen and the return of the South Manchurian Railway.

Q Are you not aware of the fact that Japanese

2

1

3

6

7

-

9

-

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

33

primary school children had to have guards in order to attend school because of persecution by Chinese civilians and officials, and in some places they were not able to attend school at all, and that in other ways anti-Japanese movements -- anti-Japanese movements became very wide-spread?

A Yes, I do recall that there were many incidents similar to that which you said -- you have just mentioned -- which occurred as a by-product of the larger anti-Japanese movement.

Q Are you aware of the fact that at that time a stone was placed on the rails outside of Mukden in an attempt to overturn the train?

A Yes.

Q Then have you ever heard that when the Japanese army attempted to carry out maneuvers outside of Mukden, the Chinese army would carry out maneuvers that very day, on that very spot, and would thus obstruct the Japanese army?

A There is no such fact in my recollection.

Q Are you aware -- you have testified this morning that the strength of Chinese forces in Manchuria was over two hundred thousand, but are you aware that they were stationed in Chung Ching, Mukden, Kan-chintsu, and elsewhere?

That fact I know better than you. However, 1 I must say that the Chinese troops were in their 2 3 barracks, and not outside of them. THE MONITOR: And they were not in the 5 Japanese settlement -- area. Addition to the previous question: And 7 Kun-chu-ling. 8 In short, was not the situation between 9 Japanese and Chinese troops such that there was 10 imminent danger of a clash? 11 That is why I told Prosecutor Sackett, in 12 my narration on the situation existing immediately 13 before the outbreak of the Mukden Incident, that it 14 was a state of hostilities without a declaration of 15 war. 16

Q Turning to another aspect: You stated that you met ITAGAKI at Port Arthur in June, 1930. What was your mission in going to Port Arthur at that time?

A In April of that year General HATA, Shunroku, then Major General, was at the head of a tour, consisting of staff officers, to Manchuria. At that time I became a party to the entourage. This tour ended toward the end of May that year, but continuing thereafter I made a trip to the Hsingam-Mon Mountains in order to prepare for another trip there, and at that

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

reply any further.

time I went to Port Arthur, where I stayed for one	
week. During that visit of one week I was a guest of	
ITAGAKI at his official residence for two days. I	
was then when I made that statement I was speak-	
ing of this meeting.	
Q At that time did you see only ITAGAKI, or	
did you also see General ISHIHARA?	
A I met all the staff officers of the Kwantung	
Army.	
Q Did you have a discussion with all these	
staff officers?	
A Yes, we drank sake and discussed also.	
Q Then, was this Manchukuoan problem discussed	
at a drinking party?	
A Yes, over cups of sake as well as in the	
offices of the general staff officer. It was a matter	
of common knowledge and practice for we staff officers	
of the Kwantung Army, who were versed in China affairs.	
to discuss the relationships the relations between	
Japan and China in Manchuria.	
Q Then, did your judgment that ITAGAKI was	
planning something concerning the Manchurian problem	
arise out of this discussion that you held at that time	
A To that question I should think I should not	

THE PRESIDENT: You should reply.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Goldberg & Yelden

A On the year before that I terminated my stay in Peiping where I was stationed and returned to Japan by way of Manchuria. I think that was in the middle of August, 1929. Japanese-Chinese relations in Manchuria at that time was daily becoming aggravated and acute as a result of the killing of Marshal Chang Tso-lin and the bringing of the flag of the Chinese Republic to Manchuria by Chang Hsueh-liang.

We officers, who were concerned with China affairs, entertained the belief that if such a situation were to continue, the problems then pending could not be settled by peaceful means. Under such a situation we would not know when the Japanese and Chinese armies would clash. Hence, if such a clash should ever arise, it was our belief that we would have to suppress it and we would have to punish them thoroughly. As a result, the hostilities might spread to all parts of Manchuria. It was, therefore, imperative that a very detailed investigation of Manchuria should be made now more than ever before. However, for this purpose, the present efficiency, personnel and strength of the Investigation Section of the Kwantung Army would not be enough in probing into the resources of Manchuria, the sentiments of the people and other important information. As a result of this there was a great deal of

hope presented to me, then Major TANAKA, that if I should return to the General Staff in Tokyo, I should make every effort possible to enlarge the China Investigation Division of our Army.

THE MONITOR: China and Manchurian Investigation Section.

General Staff Office in Tokyo, I discovered that the China-Manchurian Investigation Section was under the jurisdiction of the Horse Administration Section of the War Ministry and, therefore, I had a talk with the Chief of this section, Colonel HARA, Suenari.

To develop the China-Manchurian Research
Section into a general investigation section was a very
delicate and complicated question, inasmuch as it would
give rise to conflict between different branches within
the Army. However, it being the ardent desire of my
respected senior officers that I succeed in my efforts,
I finally surmounted all difficulties and succeeded in
creating a general investigation section on the first
of April, 1929 -- 1930.

However, when I returned again to Manchuria the following June, I found that the situation between Japan and China in Manchuria was even more acute and that the administration of the Mukden warlords was of

such a character and quality that the livelihood of the people as well as the general economic situation was in a very distressing condition; and, therefore, I recall that it was the feeling at that time that these Chinese -- Manchurian warlords should be overthrown, driven out and that a new regime of peace and order according to the kingly way be established.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction: "Therefore, in June when we met I believe this was the reason that the topic was turned to the question of the land of peace" and so on and so on, "according to the kingly way."

A (Continuing) That is all.

Q You have stated that ITAGAKI is your benefactor.

How did he become your benefactor?

A Will it be all right if I started on that subject? It will take a long time.

o Please state it briefly.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not think he should spend much time on it. He set out, as I understood the position, to link up ITAGAKI with what he has already said, but the link has not been shown yet.

A (Continuing) When I entered the Military
Academy as an officer cadet, ITAGAKI was a section
leader in my company. During my student days at the

	,——————————————————————————————————————
1	Academy, I was somewhat of the very mischievous
2	type
3	THE PRESIDENT: I do not think we want to hea
4	that. I do not think we want to go into those details
5	I am sure no member of this Court does.
6	Q Is ITAGAKI a man of such a character as
7	would plan a conspiracy?
8	THE PRESIDENT: That is too general. We
9	cannot allow questions like that.
10	Q Are you aware of the fact that when you were
11	in Manchukuo in each district of Manchuria there were
12	secret service stations?
13	
14	THE MONITOR: The term is "special service
15	organization" of the Kwantung Army.
16	A (Continuing) Yes, they were in the important
	cities of Manchuria, not in districts.
17	Q Are you aware of the fact that these special
18	service organizations were dissolved because they inter
	fered too much with matters of personnel of the Man-
20	churian Government?
21	A In line with the policy of the Deputy Chief
22	of Staff ITAGAKI, it was I who am responsible for
23	
24	preventing, stopping the special service section of
25	the Army to interfere into such matters; but the

organization itself was not abolished.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE MONITOR: Slight correction: "In line with the policy of Vice Chief of Staff ITAGAKI and Chief of Staff TOJO, it was I who was instrumental in preventing the special service organization to meddle in the political affairs. However, the special service organization itself was not dissolved."

(Continuing) In spite of the fact that there was great opposition to this step, success was achieved in convincing the other staff officers of the necessity and wisdom of this step just before his Excellency TOJO returned from --

That statement will be repeated again.

In spite of the very big opposition given to this step, success was achieved in convincing the staff officers of the Kwantung Army of the wisdom and need for this step in 1938 just before his Excellency TOJO returned from a conference of the Chiefs of Staff.

This policy was established by ITAGAKI when he was Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army, but the person who very vigorously carried out this policy was his successor, General TOJO.

With reference to the settlement of this question, I received from General ITAGAKI when I became Division Commander of the Hiroshima Division a very courteous letter of thanks.

2,099

Are you aware of the fact that ITAGAKI 1 as far as was possible tried to stop officers under 2 him and tried to stop his subordinates from interfering in the politics of the Manchurian Government? 4 Yes, of that fact I am perhaps more familiar 5 6 than anybody else. 7 During your term of office -- during your 8 stay in Manchuria, the Japanese Government in recom-9 mending officials to serve in the Manchurian Government 10 did so only upon the recommendation of the Manchurian 11 Government; and if there was no demand and the Kwan-12 tung Army did not recommend officials without -- if 13 there was no demand on the part of the Manchurian 14 Government? 15 THE MONITOR: Clarification of that: 16 your tenure of office, isn't it true that the recom-17 mendation of the Japanese Government officials was 18 done only by request, only when there was a request 19 from Manchukuo; and isn't it also true that the Kwan-20 tung /rmy did not recommend any Japanese Government 21 official unless there was a request? 22 Yes, insofar as the form was concerned, 23 that was so. Actually speaking, it was not so. It 24 is my recollection that the younger officers were 25

then very restive and aggressive, that they would not

O

25

rights.

always submit to the policy maintained by their
senior officers.
Q Concerning the appointment of Manchurian
officials in the Manchukuo Government who comprised
the greater part of the officials of that Government,
is it not a fact that in principle the Kwantung Army
did not interfere in their appointment also?
A Actually, the procedure was such as you say,
but, actually speaking, that is, in the enforcement of
this policy, that was not followed.
THE MONITOR: It was exactly opposite.
A (Continuing) The reason for this was that
if personnel questions were left in the hands of
officials of the Japanese nationality, more cliquish-
ness and other sectionalism would occur and disturb
the orderly procedure of government administration than
if the Kwantung Army should have some say.
Q What was the most important policy towards
Manchuria during the time General ITAGAKI was Chief of
Staff?
THE PRESIDENT: I think that is too general.
I don't think we would get any help from the answer.
Think of enother question.

A It was the retrocession of extra-territorial

1	Q Are you aware of the fact that during the time
2	General ITAGAKI was Chief of Staff, the retrocession
3	of extra-territoriality and the return of the railway
	zone were carried out?
4	
5	
6	planned, studied and recommended at the time ITAGAKI
7	was Chief of Staff; but the plan was put into execution
8	at the time TOJO was Chief of Staff.
9	Q Was this carried out in order to benefit the
10	Japanese people or was it not?
11	MR. SACKETT: To which the prosecution
12	objects, your Honor, for the reason it is irrelevant
13	to the issue whether it benefitted them or not
14	collateral.
15	INTERPRETER: The witness said the purpose
16	was to get rid of the Japanese superiority complex in
17	order to permit in order to have the Japanese live
18	with the inhabitants of Manchuria on an equal basis.
19	THE PRESIDENT: I think you have completed
20	
21	your cross-examination. You seem to be thinking for
22	further questions.
23	Q Turning to another aspect, I wish to ask you
24	on another point. Is it not true that officers on the
	active list cannot resign even if they have opposing
25	

opinions unless they be sick?

1	A Yes, as you say.
2	Q Did you write a book called, "An Expose of
3	the Military Clique?"
4	A I have not written a book on that subject,
5	"Expose of the Military Clique."
6	THE MONITOR: Correction: "I did not write a
7	book entitled, 'Expose of the Military Clique.' I
8	wrote a book on the causes an expose on the causes
9	of defeat."
10	Q Are what you have written there facts?
11	A What part of the book are you referring to?
12	Q All of it. What you have written in this book,
13	are they facts, are they true?
14	
15	A I need not quote my preface in that book
16	but if you would read it over, you would understand
17	that it was my purpose to tell the truth.
18	Q One other point. Are you aware of the f. cr
19	fact that when the state of Manchukuo was founded, an
20	outs'anding character in Manchuria was became the
21	committee member for the establishment of this new
22	state?
23	A Yes, very well.
24	Q What kind of people were the principal
25	leaders participants in this committee?
	A Well, of course, if you want me to quote

to speak of some Japanese dignitaries, I could; but some of them are not necessarily dignitaries from the Chinese point of view and there is not necessarily any agreement between Japanese and Chinese as to who happened to be great personages. But, if you ask me for who was a member of great character I would say Yu Chung-han.

MR. OHTA: I am OHTA, Kinjiro, counsel for the defendant DOHIHARA.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. OHTA:

Q In your testimony day before yesterday, you stated that DOHIHARA was an adviser of the Hopeh-Chahar Council. Did you not make a mistake in your memory?

A He may have been an adviser and he may have remained in Peking without being an adviser. On that point I should rather leave the answer to the interrogator inasmuch as I cannot make a positive answer.

Q Then, in order to make the fact clear, I shall say something, so please reply to that. Do you recall that the Hopeh-Chahar Regime was established on December 14, 1935?

A Are you referring to the date when the ceremony for the creation of this regime was held?

Inasmuch as those of us on the spot recall the actual facts, I should say that this was held toward the end of November.

You stated in your testimony that DOHIHARA became commander of the First Depot Division in March of 1936, but were not the facts as follows: that in the middle of February he was advanced to the rank of lieutenant general and attached to the

Twelfth Division but that as a result of the February 26th Incident he was suddenly transferred to the First Depot Division?

- A Yes, I recall now that you said so.
- Then wasn't your testimony that DOMIKAKA returned in order to report to the Commander-in-Ghief MINAMI on the establishment of the Hopeh-Chahar. Regime also mistaken and wasn't this report made toward the end of December of the previous year?

A According to my memory he returned twice, once the end of November, and the second time the end of December.

- Q Then do you admit that even during this brief period it was impossible that DOHIHARA should have been adviser to the Hopeh-Chahar Political Council since for an officer on the active list to take up such a post it would be necessary not only to receive permission from the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, but also through Central Army Head-quarters Imporial permission also was required?
 - Yes.
- Q Next I will ask you, you have stated that the DOHIHAHA-Chin To Chun Treaty was concluded after the establishment of the Hopeh-Chahar Regime, but is it not a fact that that treaty, that agreement was

3,

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Political Council?

concluded on June 23, 1935 before the establishment of this regime. Slight correction: before the MONITOR: establishment of the Hopeh-Chahar Political Committee, not regime. You are possibly referring to the date on which the signing of the agreement was held, but if my memory is correct the promise was made on December 31 of the year 1935 when Chin Te Chun came to the Great Wall line with three cavalry divisions from Mongolia and I was in charge of fostering Mongolia at that time. That is my memory of the case, but what was Q the date you mentioned previously? I said June 23, 1935. A Q 1935? Aren't you making a mistake between this and the UNEZU-Ho Yiang-chin Agreement? My statement is not a mistake. 1935. In June, Chin Te Chun was not yet in Peiping. Then this is what I have told you so far 0 what General DOHIHAKA himself said, but what about

THE PRESIDENT: I do not know whether the

DOHIHARA's relations with the Hopeh-Chahar Regime --

700	
	witness can follow that question, but I cannot. Let
1	the witness answer it. He may understand it.
2	A I will leave that to your own judgment.
3	Q What were the purposes of the Hopeh-Chahar
5	Political Committee?
6	A To cooperate with Japan as a means to keep
7	out communisim and also to establish intimate relation
8	with Manchukuo; also to maintain intimate relationshi
9	with Japan. It was also to control and advise in
	the political, economic, and military affairs of
0	that area in accordance with Japanese desire, if
1	that was at all possible, without infringing upon
2	
3	Chinese Sovereignty.
4	MONITOR: That does not infringe upon
5	Chinese Sovereignty.
6	Q Next, was there any cause which made it
7	imperative that the Hopeh-Chahar Political Committee
8	be established?
9	A I have made my statement on that very clear
0.	the day before yesterday in the interrogation con-
21	ducted by Prosecutor Sackett.
22	THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for
23	fifteen minutes.
2.4	(Whoreupon, at 1445, a recess was

taken until 1500, after which the proceedings

Duda & Whalen

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

1-1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were resumed as follows, Lanny Miyamoto replacing Sho Onodera as Monitor.)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

BY MR. OHTA (Continuing):

Q The other day you talked of some of the purposes of the Hopeh-Chahar Political Council. But you did not tell us of the causes of this Hopeh-Chahar regime, why this Hopeh-Chahar Political Council had to be. Will you tell us of this now?

A Since the independence of Manchuria, it was the principal national policy of the national government at Nanking to recover lost territories, partly by diplomatic means, partly by force of arms. It was for the purpose of abolishing or preventing friction between Japan and China that a plan was considered to create south of the Great Wall an area where Japanese-Chinese good will would prevail.

Q In short, was not the purpose of the establishment of this committee to stave off a rupture of relations between Japan and China and to establish peace in some way or another?

A From the practical point of view, peace was established between Japan and China with the Tangku troops. From what I know there were people within

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Kuomintang regime who very much disfavored any friction or controversy or conflict with Japan or anywhere in Asia. However, the anti-Japanese resistance movement and sentiment among the youthful members of the Kuomintangandstudents did not decrease by any means. However, if some area in North China were to be separated from the Nanking government that would mean that the influence of that regime in that particular area would be so weakened that friction between Japan and China in that area would be greatly lessened. For the purpose of lessening friction between Japan and China, for the purposes of peace, no. But it would have sufficient strength to prevent conflict. Viewing the objective situation between China and Japan at that time, no formal peace was possible. As a matter of fact, the relationship between 17 the two countries was being more seriously aggravated. 18

Then I ask the witness, in the final analysis was not the purpose of the establishment of this Council the establishment of peace?

I will leave that to your judgment on the basis of this fact that no people, wherever they are, no people are not desirous of peace.

> MR. OHTA: That concludes my cross-examination. MR. T. OKAMOTO: I am OKAMOTO, Toshio, coun-

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 sel for the defendant MINAMI, Jiro. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 3 BY MR. T. OKAMOTO: 4 Since, Mr. Witness, you seem to have a lot 5 opinions, would you answer to my question simply 6 yes or no. 7 MR. SACKETT: If the Court please, in my 8 experience in cross-examination the witness is not 9 required to simply answer yes or no, but is given 10 permission to explain briefly his answer of yes or 11 no. I would like to see that procedure followed here. 12 MR. T. OKAMOTO: You may give simple expla-13 nations to your answers. 14 When did you first see General MINAMI? THE MONITOR: Correction: What year. The first time I met General MINAMI was in 17 the year 1924 when the grand military maneuvers were conducted near Kawagoe, at which time I was on the Staff. General MINAMI at that time served as a judge and reprimanded us very severely because of the poor showing that was made. I understand. Have you been intimate with him ever since?

Yes.

You have stated in the course of the direct

25

Kwantung Army.

examination that while you were a Staff Officer 1 2 attached to the Kwantung Army you heard from General 3 MINAMI, the Commander-in-Chief of the army, his 4 recollections of the Manchurian Incident. 5 What year and what month did you hear these 6 recollections? 7 Since my days in the military prep school 8 I have never kept a diary so I do not remember the 9 date. 10 You have always stated the correct date very 11 exactly whenever you were questioned by the prose-12 cution. May I understand that you do not remember 13 the exact date of General MINAMI's recollections? 14 On that point I stated to Mr. Sackett, the 15 assistant prosecutor, that this meeting with General 16 MINAMI took place sometime in the Fall of 1935. Now, 17 I told Mr. Sackett whenever I knew any date definitely 18 I told him that, gave him that date definitely; when-19 ever I did not recall I said so. I am not deliberately 20 withholding anything from you. 21 Then, where did you hear these recollections? 22 I remember the place very definitely. It 23 was in the office of the Commander-in-Chief of the

Q Was this on the occasion of an official visit-

1	when you went to him in the course of an official
2	auty?
3	A Nowhere in the world is there any presen-
4	tation of memoirs when in the course of official
5	duties.
6	Q Then, did you see him on a private matter?
7	A It was after an official interview had been
8	concluded that such recollections were told me.
9	Q Was there somebody else there present besides
10	you?
11	A No.
12	Q What section of the general staff office of
13	the Kwantung Army were you attached to?
14	A Second Section, Kwantung Army.
15	Q Who was your immediate superior?
16	A Colonel KAWABE, Torashiro, of the Artillery.
17	Q Who was the immediate superior of the Chief
18	of the Second Section?
19	A Major General ITAGAKI, Seishiro, Assistant
20	Chief of Staff, Kwantung Army.
21	Q Who was the immediate superior of the Assis-
22	tant Chief of Staff?
23	A Lieutenant General NISHIO, Toshizo, Commander-
24	in-Chief, Kwantung Army no, Chief of Staff, Kwan-
2)	tung Army.

Q Then I feel it is rather difficult to believe that a mere staff officer would be able to talk with General MINAMI who was Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, and Ambassador to Manchukuo as well, in his own private room.

A It might be quite difficult for you to imagine this, but as a matter of fact, at that time we were in the midst of planning out our North China policy and all cables coming in from General DOHIHARA and all cables sent out to General DOHIHARA were being handled by me. Most of the important messages could not be handled without the previous approval of the Commander-in-Chief. Unlike other unit commanders, a staff officer can always see his Commander-in-Chief in case of important business.

Q I understand. Did General MINAMI tell you of all his recollections of the Manchurian Incident in one sitting?

A Yes.

Q Then, you say that besides this visit as Staff Officer, you were able to see the Commander-in-Chief directly any time you wanted to. Then you have seen him on many other occasions also, have you not?

A Yes, I had many opportunities to meet him

25

1 and talk with him. 2 Then on these occasions as well as when you 3 visited him on official duties it is possible that General MINAMI talked to you on Japanese policies 5 towards Manchuria? 6 A Concerning the Japanese policy towards Man-7 churia, that was already established by treaty pro-8 visions. 9 0 I am not asking you about that. I am asking 10 you about General MINAMI. Is that reply saying that 11 with respect to General MINAMI's policy with respect 12 to Manchuria, you will not reply to that? 13 The Second Section of the Kwantung Army has 14 nothing to do with the politics of Manchuria. Those 15 matters are dealt with by the Third Section of the 16 Kwantung Army. 17 Then the witness does not know about the pol-18 icy regarding the internal guidance of Manchukuo? 19 The principle for the inner guidance of 20 Manchurian affairs is fixed. That cannot be changed 21 or modified by the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung 22 Army alone. 23

Q Then your reply is that the question of -regarding General MINAMI's relation to the guidance
of the inner affairs of Manchukuo is not concerned with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

General MINAMI himself? Yes. That is not General MINAMI's authority. Then the witness does not recall that General MINAMI's responsibility with respect to Manchuria was the preservation of peace? The preservation of law and order in Manchuria is not a concern of the Commander-in-Chief alone, but also his Chief of Staff, Vice-Chief of Staff and other staff officers. Do you not recall that General MINAMI especially advocated this point? If my memory is not too faint, I think he issued instructions to that effect once. Q With respect to the preservation of law and order, was it not that army personnel should not interfere in political affairs? THE MONITOR: Respecting the independence of Manchuria. A At the time His Excellency General MINAMI

took over the office of Commander-in-Chief, the state of law and order in Manchuria was extremely bad and it was as a matter of course that the recovery and the maintenance of law and order was his foremost task. There is a very great difference between interference and inner guidance. It is natural not to

	a no all others are 11 that theme was a
1	Q Does the witness recall that there was a
2	special service unit in the Kwantung Army?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What type of work was this unit engaged in?
5	A The object was to train the Manchukuoan
6	Army to maintain law and order in Manchukuo and, when
7	need arises, to fight for the defense of Manchukuo.
8	Q Do you know that General MINAMI abolished
9	this special service unit?
10	A Previously I thought you referred to the
11	military department. If it's the special service
12	department, I have another answer.
13	
14	Then, could you please explain where and
15	
16	what type of work the special service department was
17	engaged in?
18	A When I first went to the Kwantung Army, it
	was immediately after the special service department
19	was abolished. At that time, within the Kwantung
20	Army, the regular officers were actively engaged in
21	participating in economic and political affairs.
22	Q Then, is this not true: that the reason
23	General MINAMI abolished this special service depart-
24	ment was because regular army officers should not
25	indulge in politics?

24

25

Immediately after General MINAMI's Yes. 1 2 3 4 6 7 itself. 8 Q 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Army outside of the borders of Manchuria during his 16 tenure of office as Commander-in-Chief of the Kwan-17 tung Army? 13 19 20 21 22

arrival to take over the post of Commander-in-Chief, he immediately abolished this special service department in order to remove the evils of the practice of meddling in politics inasmuch as he felt that it would lead to the corruption of the army Then you know that at this time the abolishment of extra-territoriality in Manchuria and the transfer of administrative rights south of the Manchurian zone was in its first step at this time? Regarding this decision, General MINAMI took the first decisive step. Did General MINAMI ever move the Kwantung

Two brigades were sent to the demilitarized zone south of the Great Wall, but this was in accordance with treaty provisions -- that is, the Tangku Truce. It was not a violation of any treaty commitments.

Another occasion is when, during the program to set up the Hopeh-Chahar Regime, two battalions of cavalry were sent out from Cheng Do to Dolan Nor

1	in Chahar.
2	Q From what month of what year to what month
3	of what year were these forces sent out?
4	A Although my mind is not very good, I re-
5	call that one month after the conclusion of the
6	UMEZU-Ho Ying-chin Agreement, one armored brigade
7	and one mixed regiment was sent from Cheng Do in
8	Jehol into the demilitarized zone for about one
9	month. All these troop movements were based on
0	treaty provisions.
1	Q Did these forces withdraw after a short
12	period of time?
13	A Yes. These troops immediately returned to
14	their posts after their mission had been concluded.
15	Q You say these troops were sent to Inner
16	Mongolia. When was this?
17	A In December, 1935.
18	Q Was this not in connection with the
19	incident known as the Incident of the Sixth Hsien
20	of North Chahar?
21	A Isn't there a mistake? I do not recall
22	such an incident.
23	Q Then, you have no definite information
24	that these two armored brigades did go armored

battalions did go into Chahar, do you?

- 1	
	A Yes. As Staff Officer of the Kwantung
2	Army I went to Dolan Nor by airplane and stayed
,	there a week, so I witnessed this with my own eyes.
1	Q When did these two battalions withdraw?
,	A These two battalions withdrew after two weeks
5	from the Sixth Hsien of North Chahar after the Mon-
7	golian Army had withdrawn.
3	THE MONITOR: Mongolian Cavalry.
)	Q Who was the leader of Chahar at that time
)	the leader or, rather I should say, the most important
1	personage in Chahar?
2	A Until the agreement was concluded between
3	General DOHIHARA and Chin teh-ching, the leading
1	figure was General Sung Che-yuan. However, after the
,	agreement was concluded, the most powerful leader
,	in that area was Teh yuan or Prince Teh.
7 1	Q Do you recall the fact that this Prince Teh
3	visited Hsinking?
)	A Yes.
)	Q When was this?
	A I think it was in the toward the end of
2	August, 1935, if my memory is not mistaken.
3	Q Was it in December that those two battalions
4	were sent to Chahar?
E .	

Teh yuan went to Hsinking in August, 1935.

1	But, as to these two battalions, I was mistaken; it
2	was the latter part of August, 1936.
3	Q I believe the interpretation of the presen
4	question was somewhat confused. I shall ask you
5	again: Did Prince Teh visit Hsinking before or
6	after the battalions were sent into Inner Mongolia?
7	A It was four months before, if my memory
8	is not mistaken.
9	Q You mean to say then, that Prince Teh came
0	before. In your testimony you have stated that,
1	on the order of General MINAMI, you and Colonel
2	ISHIMOTO of the Second Section of the Kwantung Army
3	went to see Prince Teh. When was this?
4	A The end of April, 1935, or the first part
5	of May, 1935.
6	Q What Second Section Chief is this Colonel
7	ISHIMOTO?
8	A Naturally, the Kwantung Army.
9	Q Did you just not state that the Chief of
0	the Second Section of the Kwantung Army was Colonel
1	KAWABE, Torashiro?
2	A Colonel ISHIMOTO was transferred to the
3	General Staff in Tokyo in August, 1935, and his
4	successor was KAWABE, Torashiro.

Q You have stated that Colonel ISHIMOTO had

a liar.

25

in his possession the instructions from Community
in his possession the instructions from General
MINAMI. Can you say with any confidence whether
Colonel ISHIMOTO actually had these instructions or
not?
A Naturally, inasmuch as the Section Chief
cannot cross the border without official instruc-
tions on so important a business.
Q But, did not Colonel ISHIMOTO go to Inner
Mongolia go to Chahar because Prince Teh had
asked for him?
A No. At that time the situation was thus:
At the estate of Prince Teh there was a small special
service organ, and this special service organ frequent-
ly suggested this project to the Prince but would not
listen at first, and it was after much persuasion
that the date for the interview was fixed for the
end of April.
Q You have stated that the Mutual Assistance
Pact concluded between Inner Mongolia and Manchukuo
was not because of any demand made by the Kwantung
Army but because of a request made by Prince Teh
himself. Is there no mistake on this point?
A I feel very much embarrassed to be called

THE PRESIDENT: He was not called a liar.

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I wish you to answer merely whether that 1 was so or not. 2 A Yes. 3 Then, may I understand that the Kwantung 4 irmy was always taking an autonomous attitude towards 5 the Mongolian problem -- was always taking a passive 6 attitude toward Mongolia? 7 8 Yes. Up 'til 1935, when Prince Teh visited 9 Hsinking, the Kwantung Army took an active attitude. 10 But, since then, after being hounded by many demands from Mongolia, it might be possible to say that the Kwantung /rmy became passive. By saying that the Kwantung Irmy took an active attitude until the arrival of Prince Teh, do you mean that you yourself had been taking an active attitude? 17 However much a fool I may be, if the attitude of my senior officers are passive, I cannot help but be in line with that attitude. To call the Chief of Staff, General NISHIO, and those serving under him, passive, would be tantamount to an insult against the Japanese Army. That is also an

insult to General MINAMI for it would be tantamount

to saying that he was not in full control of his

Army as Commander-in-Chief.

	Q I do not want to indulge in argument, so
1	I shall leave that matter and pass to my next
2	point.
3	You have stated that General DOHIHARA went
4	
5	to Peking also on General MINAMI's orders to see
6	Sun Che-yuan. When was this?
7	A I think it was the middle of September,
8	1935, if my recollection is not mistaken.
9	Q No. Was this not on January 6, 1936?
10	A I think he went about the end of September
11	because my colleague, SENDA, accompanied DOHIHARA
12	on this mission.
13	Q But you have stated that when General
14	DOHIHARA went to Peking in September, 1935, he had
15	instructions with him. Have you seen these in-
16	structions?
17	A Yes. I know those instructions very well
18	inasmuch as I personally had a hand in drafting it.
19	Q Please tell us the contents of those in-
20	structions.
21	A As I have said before, one of the purposes
22	was anti-communism. Another purpose was to create
23	in North China an autonomous region to serve as a
24	buffer area.

Q But, on investigating the records, we find

that December 31, 1937 the Vice-Minister of War, following the instructions of the Minister of War, sent instructions to the Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army concerning General DOHIHARA. Are you aware of this?

A Will you tell me the contents?

Q In this order it is said that for the time being General DOHIHARA shall be sent to North China and shall be under the commander of the Japanese forces in China and shall help the Hopeh-Chahar Political Committee.

A Yes. I am quite sure that I saw it.

THE INTERPRETER: That is on December 31,

1935, and correction of the previous question which
should read also "1935" instead of "1937."

A (Continuing) May I explain? Major General DOHIHARA went to Peking as an officer of the Kwantung Army at the orders of the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army. General DOHIHARA went to North China as an officer of the Kwantung Army, but the juris-diction over the area was exercised by the Japanese forces stationed in North China with headquarters in Hsinking. Major General TADA, head of the North China garrison forces, was extremely indignant over this fact inasmuch as an officer coming into that area

at 0930.)

25	
	under a different command would effect the coordina-
1	tion in command. And, therefore, as a result of
2	General TADA's repeated protests, General DOHIHARA
3	was transferred and attached to the North China Army
5	after the Hopeh-Chahar Political Council had been
6	set up.
7	Q Were you not at the time touring North
8	China and Chahar on official business?
9	A No. He was sent to Peiping at the orders
10	of the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung /rmy as
11	an officer attached to the Kwantung Army.
12	Q That is not my question. Were not you,
13	yourself, at the end of 1935 in Chahar and North
14	China on official business?
15	A I left Hsinking by airplane on December 24
16	and arrived in Peiping on the 31st. And, while en
17	route, I passed through Dolan Nor and Kalgan.
18	Q When did you return?
19	A I left Peiping on the third of January; and,
20	after passing through West Sumito, arrived in Hsinking
21	on January 5.
22	THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until
23	nine-thirty tomorrow morning.
24	(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjourn-
25	ment was taken until Tuesday, 9 July 1946